NIBLEY CITY REGIONAL DRAIN LINE
Bidding Q & A

Questions/Answers November 4, 2026

Question # 1. Bid Item 9 (24-inch Slide Gate): It looks like the quantity may have been left off the Bid Schedule. Could you let us know how many are intended? The Measurement and Payment description for Item 9 also seems to repeat the 12-inch gate language from Item 8, so we wanted to confirm the size.

City Response #1-
The unit and quantity for Bid Item #9 were not included in the original bidding documents. Addendum #1 will address this.

Question #2. Slide gate locations: The plans appear to show slide gates at Boxes 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9, and the Box 9 detail seems to show gates on both the 24-inch and 12-inch outlets. Item 8 lists five 12-inch gates, so we want to be sure we're counting these the way you intend. Could you confirm the size and location of each one?

City Response #2-
12-inch slide gates are called out on Box numbers 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9.
24-inch slide gate is called out on Box 9.

Question #3. Detail 2/C501 (Stormwater Structure): The general notes refer to this detail for the boxes, but it appears blank on our copy of sheet C501. Is there a detail we should be using, or should we build the boxes to APWA as amended by Nibley City Plan No. 332?

City Response #3-
General Notes #4 on sheet C200 is incorrect. Addendum #1 will address this.

Question #4. 15-inch Piping (Item 13): We weren't able to find lengths or a profile for the 15-inch line. Could you confirm the limits of that work and whether the existing 15-inch between the existing storm drain boxes is being replaced?

City Response #4-
15-inch pipe location and lengths are shown on sheet C402 and a short section on Sheet C401. There are no profiles for these sections of 15-inch pipe.

Question #5. Qualification Form: The instructions mention experience on projects funded by similar federal programs. Would you be able to share which program is funding this project? It would also help to know whether any federal requirements apply, like Davis-Bacon wages or Buy America provisions.

City Response #5-
The mention of federal funding is an error. Experience on federal projects is not required.

Question #6. Bid hold period: We noticed the Instructions mention 90 days and the Proposal mentions 30 days. Which should we plan on?

City Response #6
The City has the right to reject bids if action is taken within 30 days. Bid Bond Hold is 90 days.

Question #7. Construction window: Since the irrigation season generally starts April 1, is the City hoping to have the canal and pipe work wrapped up before then? Or has there been some discussion with College Irrigation Company about working into the season?

City Response #7-
Final completion is June 30 and punch list items by July 1. Work during irrigation season will need to be coordinated with the canal company(ies). The city has coordinated the extent of the work with the canal company. Contact information for the College Irrigation Company is included in GENERAL AND SPECIAL PROVISIONS for coordination of watering schedules and work that impacts the canal.

Question #8. Pre-bid meeting / site visit: We didn't see a pre-bid meeting in the documents. Is one planned? If not, would it be OK for us to walk the alignment, and is there anything we should do before going onto the private properties?

City Response #8-
There is no mandatory pre-bid nor site visit. The site can be accessed from Maple Valley Rd (1500 West) via 3200 South. There is a drainage easement shown on the plans. Visitors should remain within the easement during any site visit.

Question #9. Engineer's estimate: If the City is able to share the engineer's estimate or project budget, it would be helpful to us.

City Response #9-
The project is estimated near $230,000.

Question #10. Soils and groundwater: Is there any geotechnical or groundwater information available for the alignment? Also, should we plan on the existing 10-inch land drain staying in service during construction?

City Response #10-
There is no geotechnical information available.

NIBLEY CITY REGIONAL DRAIN LINE
Bidding Q & A

Soil Types are estimated to be loam, silty clays, silty clay loams, and clay loams.
Groundwater is estimated to be between 24 and 48 inches below existing grade.

Question #11. Also, should we plan on the existing 10-inch land drain staying in service during construction?

City Response #11-
The existing land drain must remain in service during construction.

Questions/Answers November 12, 2026

Question #12. I'm reviewing the note calling for a 1-foot increase in freeboard at the pond and would like to clarify how the grading should tie into the surrounding area.

City Response #12-
The existing pond bank will be modified up to 1-ft with added material sloping 3:1 and will include 6-inches of top soil, sprinkler and landscape restoration. The intended elevation will be 4495.80. the pond berm can be "field fit" under coordination with City staff to minimize adverse impact to existing trees, existing fencing, and other constraints.

Question #13. Since this trench and pipe work is not in a roadway. Are we able to back fill with native material after the pipe zone? The detail on page c501 seems a bit over kill for pipe work not in a roadway. Or should we follow that detail exactly? Can we just use ¾ or 1 inch minus rock for the foundation layer, bedding and pipe zone? Are we anticipating running into water?

City Response #13-
Areas not within an existing or future roadway can be backfilled with native materials above the pipe zone (area of initial backfill starting 1-ft above pipe). All areas are to follow the detail for pipe bedding, haunch area, and initial backfill. A previous question and response addresses ground water.

Question #14. Pipe zone material below groundwater: The trench detail (3/C501) calls for 3/4-inch untreated base course in the pipe zone, compacted to 95%. Where the trench is below the water table, base course can be very hard to compact. Would the City accept 3/4-inch clean rock wrapped in filter fabric in the pipe zone in those areas?

City Response #14-
City Standards allow use of 1-inch wrapped clean rock for construction within ground water. In areas of high ground water where 1-inch rock is used, install clay dams every 200-lf.

Question #15. Foundation stabilization: Since there is no geotechnical information and soft trench bottoms seem likely, would the City consider adding a unit-price bid item (per ton) for over-excavation and stabilization rock? That would let bidders price the pipe on typical conditions and have stabilization paid by the actual quantity used.

City Response #15-
Stabilized foundation, if required, will be negotiated by change order as time and material or other payment unit.

Question #16. Dewatering discharge location: May dewatering water, after sediment removal and under the State construction dewatering permit, be discharged to Hyrum Slough at the outfall?

City Response #16-
Discharge of groundwater in compliance with DEQ DWQ General Permit for Construction Dewatering and Hydrostatic Testing (Dewatering Permit) (UPDES Permit No. UTG070000) meeting effluent levels within the permit are allowed to discharge to the slough. Contractor is responsible for monitoring and reporting.

Question #17. Discharge through the new pipe: General Note 1 under Utilities on sheet C002 says no groundwater may enter the new or existing pipe during construction. Since the new 24-inch line outfalls to Hyrum Slough, would the City allow filtered dewatering water (passed through sediment bags) to be discharged into completed downstream sections of the new line?

City Response #17-
Filtered discharge in compliance with DEQ/DWQ Dewatering permit can be allowed to discharge through the pipe.

Question #18. Are Knock-out boxes allowed on any of these structures or will they need to be solid wall to mount headgates, etc.? (I didn't see the Nibley modified APWA 332 plan anywhere so I'm wondering what will be allowed.

City Response #18-
Some structures may be allowed with "knock-out" style walls. No modification is allowed that will hinder the design and ability to secure structures and design amenities. It is advised that structures that include slide or head gates be fabricated with solid walls to allow proper anchoring of head structures.

Question #19. What are the expectations for the grates/frames. I noticed they all need to be H-20 and it appears they are required to be galvanized steel. Would a cast-iron, or ductile

grate be an option on any of the structures? Can non-coated steel embeds angle frames/supports be used?

City Response #19-
The City is not rigid on finishes nor materials for ironworks on this project and will consider other industry standard grate, frame, embed, and coating finishes and materials meeting design specification.

Question #20. Can the 5x5 boxes be proposed as 4x6 in place of 5x5? I think we can get the piping to work and it provides a little more room to install the divider walls.

City Response #20-
The City will consider alternative construction size and configurations for structures that allow installation of designed pipes, gates, etc. and that allow the irrigation system to be constructed and to function as intended.
